

**ROBERTS BANK TERMINAL 2 INDEPENDENT SCIENTIFIC BODY: MEMBER DECLARATION OF
COMPETING INTERESTS, COMPETING DUTIES AND PERSONAL VALUES**

SECTION A: MEMBER INFORMATION		
Last name:	First name:	Affiliation(s):
SECTION B: COMPETING INTEREST(S)		
Competing interest type	Declaration of competing interests (check all that apply)	Measures taken to eliminate, reduce or mitigate competing interests (to be completed by member and, where appropriate, the ISB Chair)
Financial		
Research funding	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Personal financial interests (e.g. equity holdings or stock options).	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Consultancy remuneration, expert witness fees, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Non-financial		
Board membership in commercial, business, charitable or non-governmental organizations	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Unremunerated membership in advisory panel or committees	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Personal relationships	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
SECTION C: STATEMENT OF COMPETING DUTIES		
<input type="checkbox"/> No competing duties <input type="checkbox"/> Real, potential or apparent competing duties – please specify:		

Measures taken to eliminate, reduce or mitigate competing duties (to be completed by member and, where appropriate, the ISB Chair)):

The OSMW Science Team Chair duties will end shortly, although there may be some meetings external groups that will take place in future to present the findings of the Science Team. Although the Chair is impartial (there are Industry scientists, Federal and Provincial Scientists, and technical representative for 7 Indigenous communities on the Science Team), there may be unhappiness with the outcomes of the reports from the Science Team. I will keep the ISB Chair informed of any potential meetings or publicity associated with the Science Team.

SECTION D: STATEMENT OF PERSONAL VALUES

No personal values that might be perceived as influencing judgement.

personal values that might be perceived as influencing judgement – please specify:

I do have strong feelings about sustainability. In my philosophy, I separate the issues of sustainability from acceptability, and define sustainability as protecting the existing conditions for future generations, and restoration and mitigation decision relate to the unacceptability of current conditions. It allows me to navigate some of the complications around monitoring study design. One of the major challenges with monitoring is defining a historical baseline. My definition allows the current or recent state to be baseline, and degradation from the recent baseline is unsustainable. Acceptability relates to decisions around the need to restore situations to a previous (better) state that is difficult to define, but progress towards that state is an aspirational goal. I can work with other definitions and approaches, but it does allow me to personally navigate philosophical challenges better.

Signature: _____



February 2, 2024

Date: